IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	§	
	§	
	§	CASE NO. 6:20-CR-00005-JCB
VS.	§	
	§	
	§	
ARRON DANIEL HOLT (1)	§	

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON REVOCATION OF SUPERVISED RELEASE

On October 11, 2023, the Court held a final revocation hearing on a Petition for Warrant or Summons for Offender under Supervision. The Government was represented by Assistant United States Attorney Alan Jackson. Defendant was represented by Assistant Federal Defender Ken Hawk.

Background

After pleading guilty to the offense of Felon in Possession of a Firearm, a Class C felony, Defendant Arron Daniel Holt was sentenced on March 19, 2021 by United States District Judge J. Campbell Barker. The offense carried a statutory maximum imprisonment term of 10 years. The guideline imprisonment range, based on a total offense level of 12 and a criminal history category of V, was 27 to 33 months. Defendant was sentenced to imprisonment for a term of 40 months followed by a 3-year term of supervised release subject to the standard conditions of release, plus special conditions to include financial disclosure, drug aftercare, sex offender registration, sex offender evaluation/testing, and a \$100 special assessment. Defendant completed his term of imprisonment and started his term of supervised release on October 25, 2022.

Allegations

In the Petition seeking to revoke Defendant's supervised release, filed on September 1, 2023, United States Probation Officer Lupe Saucedo alleges that Defendant violated the following conditions of supervised release:

- 1. Allegation 1 (mandatory condition #3): The defendant must refrain from any unlawful use of a controlled substance. The defendant must submit to one drug test within 15 days of release from imprisonment and at least two periodic drug tests thereafter, as determined by the court. It is alleged that Defendant tested positive for and admitting to using methamphetamine on August 15, 2023 and May 31, 2023.
- 2. Allegation 2 (standard condition #13): The defendant must follow the instructions of the probation officer related to the conditions of supervision. It is alleged that, on the morning of August 30, 2023, the probation officer instructed Defendant to report to the probation office at 3:00 p.m., but Defendant did not follow instructions.
- 3. Allegation 3 (special condition): The defendant must participate in a program of testing and treatment for substance abuse and follow the rules and regulations of that program until discharged. The probation officer, in consultation with the treatment provider, will supervise the defendant's participation in the program. The defendant must pay any cost associated with treatment and testing. It is alleged that Defendant failed to provide urine specimens as required of the NAPP random testing program on May 30, 2023; July 31, 2023; August 11, 2023; and August 29, 2023. It is also alleged that Defendant failed to attend outpatient substance abuse counseling with Sherry Young, LPC, on August 29, 2023.

Applicable Law

According to 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e)(3), the Court may revoke the term of supervised release and require a Defendant to serve in prison all or part of the term of supervised release without credit for the time previously served under supervision, if it finds by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant violated a term of supervised release. Supervised release shall be revoked upon a finding of a Grade A or B supervised release violation. U.S.S.G. § 7B1.3(a)(1). In the present case, Defendant's original offense of conviction was a Class C felony. Accordingly, the

maximum imprisonment sentence that may be imposed is 2 years of imprisonment. 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e).

Under the Sentencing Guidelines, which are non-binding,¹ if the Court finds by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant violated his conditions of supervised release by possessing methamphetamine as alleged in the petition, he is guilty of a Grade B violation. U.S.S.G. § 7B1.1(a). Defendant's original criminal history category was V. The guidelines provide that Defendant's guideline range of imprisonment is 18 to 24 months. If the Court finds by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant violated his conditions of supervised release by using methamphetamine, failing to follow the probation officer's instructions, failing to provide urine specimens as required or failing to comply with outpatient substance abuse counseling, as alleged in the petition, he is guilty of a Grade C violation. U.S.S.G. § 7B1.1(a). With Defendant's original criminal history category of V, the guidelines provide a guideline imprisonment range for a Grade C violation of 7 to 13 months.

Hearing

On October 11, 2023, Defendant appeared for a final revocation hearing. Assistant United States Attorney Alan Jackson announced that Defendant and the Government reached an agreement for Defendant to enter a plea of true to Allegation 1 of the petition and to jointly request a sentence of imprisonment for a term of 18 months with no further supervised release. After the Court explained to Defendant his right to a revocation hearing, he waived his right to a revocation

¹ The United States Sentencing Guidelines as applied to revocations of supervised release "have always been non-binding, advisory guides to district courts in supervised release revocation proceedings." *United States v. Brown*, 122 Fed.Appx. 648, 2005 WL 518704, slip op. p.1 (citing *United States v. Davis*, 53 F.3d 638, 642 (5th Cir. 1995)); see also *United States v. Mathena*, 23 F.3d 87 (5th Cir. 1994) (policy statements contained in Chapter 7 of the Sentencing Guidelines applicable to sentencing a defendant upon revocation of supervised release are advisory only.).

hearing and entered a plea of "true" to Allegation 1 of the petition. Defendant requested a recommendation to the Bureau of Prisons to confine him at FCI Butner.

Findings and Conclusions

I find that Defendant is competent and that his plea and waiver of the revocation hearing was knowingly and voluntarily made. I accept Defendant's plea and find by a preponderance of the evidence that Allegation 1 of the petition is true. Defendant is guilty of a Grade B supervised release violation. I further find and conclude that Defendant's term of supervised release should be revoked and that he should be sentenced to a term of imprisonment of 18 months with no further supervised release. Any criminal history monetary penalties previously ordered in the final judgment should be imposed in this revocation, with all payments collected credited towards outstanding balances.

RECOMMENDATION

In light of the foregoing, it is recommended that Defendant's plea of true to Allegation 1 of the petition be **ACCEPTED** and that Defendant's term of supervised release be **REVOKED**. It is further recommended that Defendant be sentenced to a term of imprisonment of 18 months with no further supervised release. Any criminal monetary penalties previously ordered in the final judgment should be imposed in this revocation, with all payments collected credited towards outstanding balances. It is finally recommended that the Court request the Bureau of Prisons to designate Defendant to FCI Butner.

Before the conclusion of the hearing, the undersigned announced the foregoing recommendation and notified Defendant of his right to object to this Report and Recommendation and to be present and allocute before being sentenced by the Court. Defendant waived those rights and executed a written waiver in open court. The Government also waived its right to object to the Report and Recommendation. It is therefore recommended that the Court revoke Defendant's

supervised release and enter a Judgment and Commitment for him to be sentenced to a term of imprisonment of 18 months with no further supervised release.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 11th day of October, 2023.

K. NICOLE MITCHELL

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE